CASE-CONTROL STUDIES

Regina Kulier HUG Geneva

CASE-CONTROL STUDIES

- Key features of CC studies
- Selection of cases
- Selection of controls
- Measuring exposure
- (Analysis)
- Interpretation

Definition

Observational analytic epidemiologic investigation in which subjects are selected on the basis of whether they do (cases) or do not (controls) have the disease under study C The case-control method (especially the analysis) was developed in the 1950s as an approach to the problem of investigating risk factors for diseases with long latent periods and rare diseases.



Case definition

Set of standardised criteria used to identify cases

- Criteria might be
 - clinical
- histological
- specific category of diagnosis (e.g. death certificates)



← <u>Source</u>

Definition of the population from which the cases are drawn

Controls are drawn from the same population group

CASES

- C Incident or prevalent cases
 - <u>Incident</u> cases: all new cases identified within a specific population at a specific time period
 - <u>Prevalent</u> cases: cases within a specific population at a specific point in time

CONTROLS

- Provide an estimate of the frequency and amount of exposure in subjects in the population without the disease being studied
- Must be representative of the population from which the cases derived, but must not have the outcome in question

CONTROLS

<u>Matching:</u>

- selecting controls who are similar to each case with respect to potential confounding factors
- ^c group matching
- Individual matching

MEASURING EXPOSURE

- Method used to measure depends on the <u>type</u> and <u>period of time</u> when the potential exposure may have occurred
 - sources of exposure data:
 - » interview of individual
 - » interview of a person close to the subject
 - » medical records
 - » biological samples

MEASURING EXPOSURE

- use objective records rather than subjective measures
- Standardised questionnaire
- use measures of exposure taken before the outcome occurred
- if no pre-existing sources available, `blind` the investigator to the outcome status of the patient

INTERPRETATION

- Assessing potential sources of error
 - Bias
 - » selection bias
 - » information bias
 - Confounding
 - » matching, restriction
 - » stratification, regression modelling
 - Reverse causality

STRENGTHS

- relatively quick and inexpensive compared with other analytic design
- well-suited to evaluate diseases with long
 latent periods
- optimal for evaluation of rare diseases

LIMITATIONS

- C temporal relationship between exposure and disease may be difficult to establish
- prone to bias, particular selection and recall
- C cannot usually provide information on incidence rates of disease
- choice of appropriate control group may be difficult